

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO **EXAMINER** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER DATE MAILED: **EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD** All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) MCHAGL PAK CEAMINER CATTORNEY ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant applicant's representative). Type: ☐ Telephonic OUTLINE Agreement was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. was not reached. D scription f the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: APPLICANT PEQUESTED THAT THE FINALITY OF THE LAST OFFICE ACTION BEWITHDRIMUN SINCE THE NEW CLAIMS PAISE THE SCOPE OF NEW ISSUE UNDER 35 112PL. DISCUSSED 112P | envilopent - Applicant ABSUED THAT THE THE TEGATINENT IS A MODEL EUR ALL LEWIGHS. DISCUSSED 1070 - APPLICANT ARGUED THAT LEWIS OF al TEACH PARENTERAL ADMINISTRATION OF ILE WHICH CRUSSES BLOOD BRAIN BANKIER (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., it ms 1-7 on th revers side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given ne month fr m this int rvi w date to provide a statement of the substanc of the intervi w. □ 2. Since the xaminer's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
·			
			EXAMINER
		•	
			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
			14
			DATE MAILED:
		EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY REC	
All participants (applica	nt, applicant's representa	tive, PTO personnel):	retunction of Premer Capy)
(1) CSAME	AS PREVIOUS	(3)	
(2)		(4)	
	8-3-9		
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ★ applicant's representative). Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: ☐ SAME AS PROVED.			
Exhibit shown or demon	nstration conducted: Y	'es □ No. If yes, brief description:	A) PROVING
Agreement 🗆 was rea	iched with respect to som	e or all of the claims in question. was not reache	d.
Claims discussed:	pendiuly		
4.4416141	I Comme	= AS PREVIOU)	
identification of prior art	discussed: SAIVE	= AS PREVIOUS	
Description of the gener	ral nature of what was an	reed to if an agreement was reached, or any other co	mments: APPLICANT CITED
GLUCENAN A	SAN EXAMPLE	OF PHTEN! CLIAINS COVERIAL	Z A BROAD PANGE OF
CNS DISORDE	K BUTAMOOD	BROWNER OF EXAMPLES O	A BROAD RANGE OF CRUSSING
		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	·
THE BLUDD B	SKAIN BAPRIGK	FROM PARENTERAL ADMINISTR	47/6N,
		he amendments, if available, which the examiner agr ats which would render the claims allowable is availab	
1. It is not necessa	ary for applicant to provid	e a separate record of the substance of the interview.	
WAIVED AND MUST IN	ICLUDE THE SUBSTAN	o indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RES CE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the r ver v n n month from this interview date to pr v id a s	s side of this form). If a r sponse to the last Office
requirem nts the respons requi	hat may be present in the rements of the last Office	y above (including any attachments) reflects a compl last Office action, and since the claims are now allow action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a seg	
box 1 abov is	also checked.	_1_1-	On D. Dale

EXAMINER: M. PAK GROUP ART UNIT: 1646

(Hand Delivered to Group Receptionist)

U.S. PATENT APPLICATION SERIAL NO. 08/571,802

APPLICANT: DOUGLAS N. ISHII

RECEIMED

AUG 0 3 1998

MATHIX GUSTOMER SERVICE CENTER

PROPOSED OUTLINE FOR EXAMINER INTERVIEW

- The first Office action dated May 1, 1998 was made final (paragraph 9). Applicant would like to discuss whether making this action final was proper in view of the status of this application as a continuation application filed with new claims.
- The May 1 Office action also sets forth a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. Applicant would like to discuss that rejection and the points raised by applicant in the Preliminary Remarks filed April 1, 1998.
- The May 1 Office action also rejects claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Lewis et al. (copy attached) Applicant would like to discuss that rejection and the points raised by applicant in the Preliminary Remarks filed April 1, 1998.
- Finally, applicant would like to address the recently issued U.S. Patent No. 5,714,460 (copy attached).
- Applicant would appreciate any comments regarding further action that may place this case in condition for allowance.

Janelle D. Waack Reg. No. 36,300